

# IE 661 Scheduling Theory Chapter 2

### Rakesh Nagi Department of Industrial Engineering University at Buffalo (SUNY)

University at Buffalo (SUNY)

Department of Industrial Engineering

APPLIED SCIENC



- Processing time p<sub>ii</sub>
- Release date r<sub>i</sub>
- Due date d<sub>i</sub>
- Weight w<sub>i</sub>
- Notation
  - $\succ \alpha \mid \beta \mid \gamma$
  - $\succ \alpha$  Machine environment
  - $> \beta$  Processing characteristics and constraints
  - $\succ \gamma$  Objective



- $\alpha$  Machine environment
  - Single machine (1)
  - Identical machines in parallel (Pm)
  - Machines in parallel with different speeds (Qm)
  - Unrelated machines in parallel (Rm)
  - Flow shop (Fm) (m machines in series)
  - Flexible flow shop (FFc) (c stages with poss. Identical machines)
  - > Job shop (Jm) (*recrc* for recirculation in  $\beta$  field)
  - Flexible job shop (FJc)

Open shop (Om) (scheduler can determine route) University at Buffalo (SUNY)
Department of Industrial Engineering



- β Processing characteristics and constraints
  - Release dates (r<sub>j</sub>)
  - Sequence dependent setup times (s<sub>ik</sub>)
  - Machine specific sequence dependent setup times (s<sub>ijk</sub>)
  - Premeptions (prmp)
  - Precedence constraints (prec)
  - Breakdowns (brkdwn)
  - Machine eligibility restrictions (M<sub>i</sub>)
  - Permutation (prmu)
  - Blocking (block)
  - No-wait (*nwt*)
  - Recirculation (recrc)



- γ Objective
  - Makespan (C<sub>max</sub>)
  - > Max lateness ( $L_{max}$ );  $L_j = C_j d_j$
  - > Total weighted completion time (  $\sum w_j C_j$
  - > Discounted total weighted completion time (  $\sum w_j(1-e^{-rC_j})$ )
  - > Total weighted tardiness (  $\sum w_j T_j$  )
  - > Weighted number of tardy jobs (  $\sum w_j U_j$  )
- Examples
- Fm |  $\mathbf{p}_{ij} = \mathbf{p}_j | \sum_{w_j C_j} \mathbf{w}_j C_j$



- Classes of Schedules
  - Nondelay Schedule: A feasible schedule is called non-delay if no machine is kept idle while an operation is waiting for processing (i.e., it prohibits unforced idleness).
  - A scheduling anomaly: Consider a P2 | prec | C<sub>max</sub> with the following processing times

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1
рј	8	7	7	2	3	2	2	8	8	0

University at Buffalo (SUNY)

Department of Industrial Engineering

5





Figure 2.2 Precedence constraints graph for Example 2.3.2.



**Figure 2.3** Gantt charts of nondelay schedules: (a) original schedules, (b) processing times one unit less, and (c) original processing times and three machines.

### University at Buffalo (SUNY)



- Classes of Schedules
  - Active Schedule: A feasible schedule is called active if it is not possible to construct another schedule by changing the order of processing on the machines and having at least one operation finishing earlier and no operation finishing later.
  - Semi-active Schedule: A feasible schedule is called semiactive if no operation can be completed earlier without changing the order of processing on any one of the machines.





- Complexity Hierarchy
  - 1 || Σ C<sub>j</sub> α (reduces to)
     1 || Σ w<sub>j</sub> C<sub>j</sub>
     1 || Σ w<sub>j</sub> C<sub>j</sub> α
     P<sub>m</sub> || Σ w<sub>j</sub> C<sub>j</sub> α
     Q<sub>m</sub> |prec| Σ w<sub>j</sub>
     C<sub>j</sub>



**Figure 2.7** Complexity hierarchies of deterministic scheduling problems: (1) machine environments, (b) processing restrictions and constraints, and (c) objective functions.

#### University at Buffalo (SUNY)



 Complexity hierarchy for Makespan (Fig. 2.8) and Maximum Lateness problems (Fig. 2.9)



Figure 2.8 Complexity hierarchy of problems in Example

Figure 2.9 Complexity hierarchy of problems in Example 2.4.2.