#### General Introduction

• on-line scheduling can be seen as scheduling with incomplete information

-1-

- at certain points, decisions have to be made without knowing already the complete instance
- depending on the way how new information gets known, different on-line paradigms are possible

#### On-Line paradigms

- scheduling jobs one by one
  - $-\operatorname{in}$  this paradigm jobs are ordered in some list (sequence)
  - $-\operatorname{jobs}$  are presented one by one to the decision maker
  - at the moment the job is presented, its characteristics get available

-2-

- the scheduling decision for the job has to be taken before the next job is presented
- $-\,{\rm the}$  scheduling decision is irreversible

#### <u>Remarks</u>:

- scheduling jobs one by one is list scheduling!
- in Lecture 5, we have shown that list scheduling is a 2 1/m-approximation for  $P||C_{max}$

 $\mathbf{N}$ 

On-Line paradigms (cont.)

- jobs arrive over time
  - $-\operatorname{jobs}$  get know at their release date
  - $-\operatorname{the}$  scheduling decision for a job may be delayed
  - $-\operatorname{at}$  any time all currently available jobs are at the disposal of the decision maker

-3-

- decisions in the past are irreversible

Remark:

• we consider this paradigm

#### Performance measure

- quality of an on-line algorithm is mostly measured by evaluating its worst case performance
- as reference value the best off-line value is used
- has a 'game theoretic' character:
  - the on-line algorithm plays against an 'adversary'
  - $-\,{\rm the}$  adversary makes a sequence of requests (jobs) to be served by the on-line algorithm
  - $-\,{\rm the}$  adversary also serves the request, but only after it knows all request
  - the adversary tries to get the costs of the on-line algorithm as high as possible compared to its own cost

Performance measure - competitive analysis

• an on-line algorithm is  $\rho$ -competitive if its objective value is no more than  $\rho$  times the optimal off-line value for all instances

-5-

• the competitive ratio is related to the approximation factor in off-line settings

Performance measure - competitive analysis

• an on-line algorithm is  $\rho$ -competitive if its objective value is no more than  $\rho$  times the optimal off-line value for all instances

-5-

- the competitive ratio is related to the approximation factor in off-line settings
- if *randomization* is allowed within the on-line algorithm (i.e. random choices are allowed) the expected objective value is used for the competitive analysis

#### Performance measure - lower bounds

• how much does one lose by not having complete information or how much is it worth to know the future?

-6-

#### Performance measure - lower bounds

• how much does one lose by not having complete information or how much is it worth to know the future?

-6-

- the competitive ratio of a specific on-line algorithm is not the answer to this problem
- a lower bound on the competitive ratio of every possible on-line algorithm answers the question!
- such lower bounds can be achieved by providing a specific set of instances on which no on-line algorithm can perform well

### On-Line Scheduling Problem $1|r_i| \sum C_i$

- problem is NP-hard
- if all release dates are equal, the SPT-rule solves the problem
- in the general case, SPT (each time the machine gets idle, process an available job with smallest processing time) is an on-line algorithm

-7-

- Theorem: For problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  the SPT-algorithm has not a constant competitive ratio. (Proof as exercise)
- Can we do better?
- How good can we do?

### Problem $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ - lower bound

• Theorem: Any deterministic on-line algorithm for problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ has a competitive ratio of at least 2 (proof on the board)

-8-

• Remark: Proof of the theorem shows that any on-line algorithm which has a constant competitive ratio needs a 'waiting' strategy

# **On-Line Scheduling** Problem $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ - algorithm

- Algorithm delayed SPT (DSPT):
  - 1. IF machine gets idle THEN
  - 2. calculate next time t at which a job is available;
  - 3. let j be unscheduled available job with smallest processing time;

\_9\_

4. (if choice, select job with smallest release date);

5. IF 
$$p_j \le t$$
 THEN

- 6. schedule job j at t
- 7. ELSE
- 8. wait until  $t = p_j$  or until a next job becomes available;

11

# **On-Line Scheduling** Problem $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ - algorithm (cont.)

- Remarks on DSPT:
  - algorithm would like to order jobs by increasing processing times, but does not know if in the future smaller jobs arrive and how long to wait

-10-

- to cope with this, the algorithm waits so long that if it makes a 'mistake' and schedules a large job j, all smaller jobs coming after j have a release date  $\geq p_j$
- this makes that the 'mistake' can not contribute too much to the criterion

### Problem $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ - algorithm (cont.)

 $\bullet$  Theorem: Algorithm DSPT for problem  $1|r_j|\sum C_j$  has competitive ratio 2

-11-

- Proof (sketch):
  - Notation:
    - \* I: instance with a minimal number of jobs for which DSPT has largest performance ratio
    - \*  $\sigma$ : schedule created by algorithm DSPT for instance I
  - Observation: Schedule  $\sigma$  consist of a single block (i.e. all jobs are processed without idle time in between)
  - Assumption: jobs are numbered according to their position in  $\sigma$

Problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  - algorithm (cont.)

- Proof (cont.):
  - partition of  $\sigma$  into subblocks  $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ :
    - \* within  $B_i$  jobs are ordered according to increasing processing times

-12-

- \* last job of  $B_i$  is larger than first job of  $B_{i+1}$
- \*  $B_i$  consist of jobs  $b(i-1) + 1, \dots, b(i)$ (i.e.  $b(i) = \min\{j > b(i-1) | p_j > p_{j+1}\}$ )
- define m(i) such that  $p_{m(i)} = \max_{0 \le j \le b(i)} p_j$
- define pseudo schedule  $\psi$  by scheduling jobs in same order as in  $\sigma$ where job j from subblock  $B_{i+1}$  starts at  $S_j(\sigma) - p_{m(i)}$

Problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  - algorithm (cont.)

- Proof (cont.):
  - $\operatorname{in} \psi$ job may overlap or start before their release date

-13-

- Notation:
  - \*  $\phi$ : optimal preemptive schedule for I
- Lemma 1: For all  $j \in I$  we have:  $C_j(\sigma) C_j(\psi) \le C_j(\phi)$ . (Proof on the board)
- Lemma 2:  $\sum C_j(\psi) \leq \sum C_j(\phi)$ (Proof in the handouts)

Problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  - randomized algorithm

- $\bullet$  algorithm is based on optimal preemptive solution of problem  $1|r_j, pmtn|\sum C_j$
- SRPT (at each point in time schedule an available job with shortest remaining processing time) solves problem  $1|r_j, pmtn| \sum C_j$

\_14\_

• SRPT is an on-line algorithm and, thus, an on-line algorithm for problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  may use the result of SRPT

# Lecture 11

# **On-Line Scheduling**

Problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$  - randomized algorithm

- algorithm  $\alpha$ -scheduler:
  - 1. L: list of jobs for which in the optimal preemptive schedule an  $\alpha$  fraction has already been scheduled at the current time; initially:  $L = \emptyset$ ;

-15-

- 2. proceed in time whereby the preemptive schedule is updated
- 3. IF  $\alpha$  fraction of job j is finished in preemptive schedule THEN
- 4. add j at the end of L;
- 5. IF machine gets idle THEN
- 6. schedule first job of L or if L is empty, proceed in time;

### Problem $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ - randomized algorithm

- for fixed  $\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -scheduler is a deterministic algorithm
- for  $\alpha = 1$ , the  $\alpha$ -scheduler has a competitive ratio of 2 (proof by Phillips,Stein and Wein [1995])
- other values of  $\alpha$  lead to larger competitive ratios
- Theorem: The randomized on-line algorithm  $\alpha$ -scheduler, where  $\alpha$  is chosen according to probability density function  $f(\alpha) = e^{\alpha}/(e-1)$ , has competitive ratio  $e/(e-1) \approx 1.582$  (proof by Chekuri, Motwani, Natarajan and Stein [1997])

-16-

• Theorem: Any randomized on-line algorithm for problem  $1|r_j| \sum C_j$ has a competitive ratio of at least e/(e-1)(proof in the handouts)